

# Quadruple fixed point theorems for compatible type mappings without mixed $g$ -monotone property in partially ordered $b$ -metric spaces

R. A. Rashwan · S. M. Saleh

Received: 15 September 2014 / Accepted: 12 November 2014 / Published online: 28 November 2014  
© The Author(s) 2014. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

**Abstract** In this paper, we establish quadruple fixed point theorems for compatible type mappings in partially ordered  $b$ -metric spaces without the mixed  $g$ -monotone property under some conditions. Also, an example is given to show our results are real generalization of known results in quadruple fixed point theory.

**Keywords** Quadruple fixed point · Compatible and  $w$ -compatible mappings · Partially ordered  $b$ -metric spaces

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 54H25 · 47H10

## Introduction and preliminaries

The concept of  $b$ -metric space was introduced and studied by Bakhtin [7] and later used by Czerwik [13, 14] which is a generalization of the usual metric space. After that, several papers have dealt with fixed point theory for single-valued and multi-valued operators in  $b$ -metric spaces have been obtained (see, e.g., [2, 5, 11, 12, 26, 28]).

Existence of coupled fixed point was introduced by Guo and Lakshmikantham [16]. In 2006, Gnana-Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [10] introduced the concept of mixed monotone property in partially ordered metric space. Afterward, Lakshmikantham and Ćirić in [24] extended these results by giving the definition of the  $g$ -monotone property. Many papers have been reported on coupled fixed

point theory (see, e.g., [1, 3, 4, 9, 18, 27]). In 2011, Vasile Berinde and Marin Borcut [8] extended and generalized the results of [10] and introduced the concept of a tripled fixed point and the mixed monotone property of a mapping  $F : X^3 \rightarrow X$ . For more details on tripled fixed point results, we refer to [6, 26]. Recently, Karapinar and Luong [19] introduced the concept of a quadruple fixed point and the mixed monotone property of a mapping  $F : X^4 \rightarrow X$  and they presented some new quadruple fixed point results. For a survey of quadruple fixed point theorems and related fixed points we refer the reader to [20–22].

**Definition 1.1** [14]. Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $s \geq 1$  a given real number. A function  $d : X^2 \rightarrow R^+$  is called a  $b$ -metric provided that, for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , the following conditions hold:

- (b<sub>1</sub>)  $d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ ,
- (b<sub>2</sub>)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ ,
- (b<sub>3</sub>)  $d(x, z) \leq s[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$ .

The pair  $(X, d)$  is called a  $b$ -metric space with parameter  $s$ .

**Remark 1.1** It is obvious that any metric space must be a  $b$ -metric space where a  $b$ -metric space is a metric space when  $s = 1$ . The following example show that in general a  $b$ -metric need not necessarily be a metric space (see also [29]).

**Example 1.1** [2]. Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $\rho(x, y) = (d(x, y))^p$ , where  $p > 1$  is a real number. Then  $\rho$  is a  $b$ -metric with  $s = 2^{p-1}$ . However, if  $(X, d)$  is a metric space, then  $(X, \rho)$  is not necessarily a metric space. For example, if  $X = R$  is the set of real numbers and  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$  is the usual Euclidean metric, then  $\rho(x, y) = (x - y)^2$  is a  $b$ -metric on  $R$  with  $s = 2$ , but is not a metric on  $R$ .

R. A. Rashwan (✉) · S. M. Saleh  
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Assiut  
University, Assiut, Egypt  
e-mail: rr\_rashwan54@yahoo.com

S. M. Saleh  
e-mail: samirasaleh2007@yahoo.com



**Definition 1.2** [11]. Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space. Then a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is called

- (i)  $b$ -convergent if and only if there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In this case, we write  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ ,
- (ii)  $b$ -Cauchy if and only if  $d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proposition 1.1** ([11] Remark 2.1) In a  $b$ -metric space the following assertions hold:

- (i) A  $b$ -convergent sequence has a unique limit.
- (ii) Each  $b$ -convergent sequence is  $b$ -Cauchy.
- (iii) In general, a  $b$ -metric is not continuous.

**Definition 1.3** [11]. Let  $(X, d)$  and  $(\bar{X}, \bar{d})$  be two  $b$ -metric spaces.

- (i) The space  $(X, d)$  is  $b$ -complete if every  $b$ -Cauchy sequence in  $X$   $b$ -converges.
- (ii) A function  $f : X \rightarrow \bar{X}$  is  $b$ -continuous at a point  $x \in X$  if it is  $b$ -sequentially continuous at  $x$ , that is, whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is  $b$ -convergent to  $x$ ,  $\{f(x_n)\}$  is  $b$ -convergent to  $f(x)$ .

**Definition 1.4** [11]. The  $b$ -metric space  $(X, d)$  is  $b$ -complete if every  $b$ -Cauchy sequence in  $X$   $b$ -converges.

It should be noted that, in general a  $b$ -metric function  $d(x, y)$  for  $s > 1$  is not jointly continuous in all its variables. The following example on a  $b$ -metric which is not continuous.

**Example 1.2** [17]. Let  $X = \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  and let  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$d(m, n) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } m = n, \\ \left| \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n} \right|, & \text{if one of } m, n \text{ is even and the other is even or } \infty, \\ 5, & \text{if one of } m, n \text{ is odd and the other is odd (and } m \neq n) \text{ or } \infty, \\ 2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then considering all possible cases, it can be checked that for all  $m, n, p \in X$ , we have

$$d(m, p) \leq \frac{5}{2}(d(m, n) + d(n, p)).$$

Thus,  $(X, d)$  is a  $b$ -metric space (with  $s = \frac{5}{3}$ ). Let  $x_n = 2n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$d(2n, \infty) = \frac{1}{2n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

that is,  $x_n \rightarrow \infty$ , but  $d(x_n, 1) = 2 \rightarrow 5 = d(\infty, 1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Since, in general, a  $b$ -metric is not continuous, we need the following lemma about the  $b$ -convergent sequences in the proof of our main result.

**Lemma 1.1** [2]. Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space with  $s \geq 1$ , and suppose that  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$   $b$ -converge to  $x, y$ , respectively. Then, we have

$$\frac{1}{s^2}d(x, y) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) \leq s^2d(x, y).$$

In particular, if  $x = y$  then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = 0$ . Moreover, for each  $z \in X$  we have

$$\frac{1}{s}d(x, z) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z) \leq sd(x, z).$$

**Definition 1.5** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and let  $F : X^4 \rightarrow X, g : X \rightarrow X$ . An element  $(x, y, z, w) \in X^4$  is called

- (i) [19] a quadruple fixed point of  $F$  if

$$F(x, y, z, w) = x, \quad F(y, z, w, x) = y, \\ F(z, w, x, y) = z \quad \text{and} \quad F(w, x, y, z) = w.$$

- (ii) [25] a quadruple coincidence point of  $F$  and  $g$  if

$$F(x, y, z, w) = gx, \quad F(y, z, w, x) = gy, \\ F(z, w, x, y) = gz \quad \text{and} \quad F(w, x, y, z) = gw.$$

$(gx, gy, gz, gw)$  is said to be a quadruple point of coincidence of  $F$  and  $g$ .

- (iii) [25] a quadruple common fixed point of  $F$  and  $g$  if

$$F(x, y, z, w) = gx = x, \quad F(y, z, w, x) = gy = y, \\ F(z, w, x, y) = gz = z \quad \text{and} \quad F(w, x, y, z) = gw = w.$$

**Definition 1.6** [25]. Let  $(X, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set and let  $F : X^4 \rightarrow X, g : X \rightarrow X$ . The mapping  $F$  is said to have the mixed  $g$ -monotone property if for any  $x, y, z, w \in X$ ,

$$x_1, x_2 \in X, \quad gx_1 \leq gx_2 \quad \text{implies} \quad F(x_1, y, z, w) \leq F(x_2, y, z, w), \\ y_1, y_2 \in X, \quad gy_1 \leq gy_2 \quad \text{implies} \quad F(x, y_2, z, w) \leq F(x, y_1, z, w), \\ z_1, z_2 \in X, \quad gz_1 \leq gz_2 \quad \text{implies} \quad F(x, y, z_1, w) \leq F(x, y, z_2, w), \\ \text{and} \quad w_1, w_2 \in X, \quad gw_1 \leq gw_2 \quad \text{implies} \quad F(x, y, z, w_2) \leq F(x, y, z, w_1).$$

In particular, when  $g = i_X$ , then from [19] we say that  $F$  has the mixed monotone property that is, for any  $x, y, z, w \in X$ ,

$$x_1, x_2 \in X, \quad x_1 \leq x_2 \quad \text{implies} \quad F(x_1, y, z, w) \leq F(x_2, y, z, w), \\ y_1, y_2 \in X, \quad y_1 \leq y_2 \quad \text{implies} \quad F(x, y_2, z, w) \leq F(x, y_1, z, w), \\ z_1, z_2 \in X, \quad z_1 \leq z_2 \quad \text{implies} \quad F(x, y, z_1, w) \leq F(x, y, z_2, w), \\ \text{and} \quad w_1, w_2 \in X, \quad w_1 \leq w_2 \quad \text{implies} \quad F(x, y, z, w_2) \leq F(x, y, z, w_1).$$



The concept of an altering distance function was introduced by Khan et al. [23] as follows.

**Definition 1.7** [23]. A function  $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called an altering distance function if

- (i)  $\psi$  is non-decreasing and continuous,
- (ii)  $\psi(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0$ .

**Definition 1.8** [1]. The mappings  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  are called  $w$ -compatible if  $f(F(x, y)) = F(fx, fy)$  whenever  $f(x) = F(x, y)$  and  $f(y) = F(y, x)$ .

In 2012, Dorić et al. [15] established coupled fixed point results without the mixed monotone property. This property is automatically satisfied in the case of a totally ordered space. Therefore, these results can be applied in a much wider class of problems. Now, we state a property due to Dorić et al. [15].

If elements  $x, y$  of a partially ordered set  $(X, \preceq)$  are comparable (i.e.,  $x \preceq y$  or  $y \preceq x$  holds) we will write  $x \asymp y$ . Let  $g : X \rightarrow X$  and  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ . We will consider the following condition:

$$\text{if } x, y, u, v \in X \text{ are such that } gx \asymp F(x, y) \\ = gu \text{ then } F(x, y) \asymp F(u, v). \quad (1.1)$$

In particular, when  $g = i_X$ , it reduces to

$$\text{for all } x, y, v \text{ if } x \asymp F(x, y) \text{ then } F(x, y) \asymp F(F(x, y), v). \quad (1.2)$$

The aim of this paper is to extend the property due to Dorić et al. [15] to the case of mappings  $g : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $F : X^4 \rightarrow X$ , and show that a mixed monotone property in quadruple fixed point results for mappings in partially ordered  $b$ -metric spaces can be replaced by another property which is often easy to check in the case of a totally ordered space. We prove the existence of quadruple coincidence and uniqueness quadruple common fixed point theorems for a compatible and  $w$ -compatible mappings satisfying generalized contraction in partially ordered  $b$ -metric spaces without the mixed  $g$ -monotone property. Also, we state an example showing that our results are effective.

### Quadruple coincidence point theorems

Let  $g : X \rightarrow X$  and  $F : X^4 \rightarrow X$ . We consider the following condition:

$$\text{if } x, y, z, w, u, v, r, t \in X \text{ are such that } gx \asymp F(x, y, z, w) \\ = gu \text{ then } F(x, y, z, w) \asymp F(u, v, r, t). \quad (2.1)$$

In particular, when  $g = i_X$ , it reduces to

$$\text{for all } x, y, v, r, t \text{ if } x \asymp F(x, y, z, w) \text{ then } F(x, y, z, w) \asymp \\ F(F(x, y, z, w), v, r, t). \quad (2.2)$$

We will show by examples the condition (2.1), ((2.2) resp.) may be satisfied when  $F$  does not have the mixed  $g$ -monotone property, (monotone property resp.).

**Example 2.1** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $\preceq = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (d, d), (a, b), (c, d)\}$ ,

$$g : \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ c & d & c & d \end{pmatrix}, \\ F : \begin{pmatrix} (a, y, z, w) & (b, y, z, w) & (c, y, z, w) & (d, y, z, w) \\ b & a & c & d \end{pmatrix},$$

for all  $y, z, w \in X$ . Since  $ga = c \preceq gb = d$  but  $F(a, y, z, w) \succeq F(b, y, z, w)$  for all  $y, z, w \in X$ , the mapping  $F$  does not have the mixed  $g$ -monotone property. But it has property (2.1) where

- (i) For each  $y, z, w \in X$ , we get  $gc \asymp F(c, y, z, w)$  and  $F(c, y, z, w) \asymp F(c, v, r, t)$  for all  $v, r, t \in X$ .
- (ii) For each  $y, z, w \in X$ , we get  $gd \asymp F(d, y, z, w)$  and  $F(d, y, z, w) \asymp F(d, v, r, t)$  for all  $v, r, t \in X$ .
- (iii) The other two cases are trivial.

**Example 2.2** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $\preceq = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (d, d), (a, b), (c, d)\}$ ,

$$F : \begin{pmatrix} (a, y, z, w) & (b, y, z, w) & (c, y, z, w) & (d, y, z, w) \\ b & a & c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

for all  $y, z, w \in X$ . Since  $a \preceq b$  but  $F(a, y, z, w) = b \succeq a = F(b, y, z, w)$  for all  $y, z, w \in X$ , the mapping  $F$  does not have the mixed monotone property. But it has property (2.2) since

- (i) For each  $y, z, w \in X$ , we get  $a \asymp F(a, y, z, w)$  and  $F(a, y, z, w) = b \asymp a = F(F(a, y, z, w), v, r, t)$  for all  $v, r, t \in X$ .
- (ii) For each  $y, z, w \in X$ , we get  $b \asymp F(b, y, z, w)$  and  $F(b, y, z, w) = a \asymp b = F(F(b, y, z, w), v, r, t)$  for all  $v, r, t \in X$ .
- (iii) The other two cases are trivial.



**Definition 2.1** Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space and let  $g : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $F : X^4 \rightarrow X$ . The mappings  $g$  and  $F$  are said to be compatible if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gF(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n), F(gx_n, gy_n, gz_n, gw_n)) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gF(y_n, z_n, w_n, x_n), F(gy_n, gz_n, gw_n, gx_n)) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gF(z_n, w_n, x_n, y_n), F(gz_n, gw_n, gx_n, gy_n)) = 0$$

$$\text{and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gF(w_n, x_n, y_n, z_n), F(gw_n, gx_n, gy_n, gz_n)) = 0,$$

hold whenever  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\{y_n\}$ ,  $\{z_n\}$  and  $\{w_n\}$  are sequences in  $X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(y_n, z_n, w_n, x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gy_n,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(z_n, w_n, x_n, y_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gz_n \quad \text{and}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(w_n, x_n, y_n, z_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gw_n.$$

**Definition 2.2** The mappings  $F : X^4 \rightarrow X$  and  $g : X \rightarrow X$  are called  $w$ -compatible if  $g(F(x, y, z, w)) = F(gx, gy, gz, gw)$  whenever  $gx = F(x, y, z, w)$ ,  $gy = F(y, z, w, x)$ ,  $gz = F(z, w, x, y)$  and  $gw = F(w, x, y, z)$ .

**Remark 2.1** In an altering distance function  $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , since  $\psi$  is non-decreasing then for any  $a, b, c, d \in [0, \infty)$  the following holds.

$$\psi(\max\{a, b, c, d\}) = \max\{\psi(a), \psi(b), \psi(c), \psi(d)\}.$$

The triple  $(X, d, \preceq)$  is called a partially ordered  $b$ -metric space if  $(X, \preceq)$  is a partially ordered set and  $(X, d)$  is a  $b$ -metric space.

Our first result is the following.

**Theorem 2.1** Let  $(X, d, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered complete  $b$ -metric space with parameter  $s \geq 1$ . Let  $F : X^4 \rightarrow X$  and  $g : X \rightarrow X$  be two mappings such that the following hold:

- (i)  $g$  and  $F$  are  $b$ -continuous,
- (ii)  $F(X^4) \subseteq g(X)$ ,  $g$  and  $F$  are compatible,
- (iii)  $g$  and  $F$  satisfy property (2.1),
- (iv) there exist  $x_0, y_0, z_0, w_0 \in X$  such that  $gx_0 \preceq F(x_0, y_0, z_0, w_0)$ ,  $gy_0 \preceq F(y_0, z_0, w_0, x_0)$ ,  $gz_0 \preceq F(z_0, w_0, x_0, y_0)$  and  $gw_0 \preceq F(w_0, x_0, y_0, z_0)$ ,
- (v) there exist an altering distance function  $\psi$  and  $\phi : [0, \infty)^4 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous with  $\phi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = 0$  if and only if  $t_1 = t_2 = t_3 = t_4 = 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(sd(F(x, y, z, w), F(u, v, r, t))) \\ & \leq \psi(\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gr), d(gw, gt)\}) \\ & \quad - \phi(d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gr), d(gw, gt)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

for all  $x, y, z, w, u, v, r, t \in X$  and  $gx \preceq gu$ ,  $gy \preceq gv$ ,  $gz \preceq gr$  and  $gw \preceq gt$ .

Then,  $F$  and  $g$  have a quadruple coincidence point.

**Proof** Let  $x_0, y_0, z_0, w_0 \in X$  be such that condition (iv) holds. Since  $F(X^4) \subseteq g(X)$ , then we can choose  $x_1, y_1, z_1, w_1 \in X$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} gx_1 &= F(x_0, y_0, z_0, w_0), & gy_1 &= F(y_0, z_0, w_0, x_0), \\ gz_1 &= F(z_0, w_0, x_0, y_0) & \text{and } gw_1 &= F(w_0, x_0, y_0, z_0). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

By continuing this process, we can construct sequences  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\{y_n\}$ ,  $\{z_n\}$  and  $\{w_n\}$  in  $X$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} gx_{n+1} &= F(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n), & gy_{n+1} &= F(y_n, z_n, w_n, x_n), \\ gz_{n+1} &= F(z_n, w_n, x_n, y_n), & \text{and } gw_{n+1} &= F(w_n, x_n, y_n, z_n) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ .

(2.5)

We show that

$$\begin{aligned} gx_n &\preceq gx_{n+1}, & gy_n &\preceq gy_{n+1}, & gz_n &\preceq gz_{n+1} \\ \text{and } gw_n &\preceq gw_{n+1} & \text{for } n \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

So, we use the mathematical induction. By condition (iv) and using (2.4) we get  $gx_0 \preceq gx_1$ ,  $gy_0 \preceq gy_1$ ,  $gz_0 \preceq gz_1$ , and  $gw_0 \preceq gw_1$ . So (2.6) holds for  $n = 0$ . We assume that (2.6) holds for some  $n > 0$ , that is  $gx_n \preceq gx_{n+1}$ ,  $gy_n \preceq gy_{n+1}$ ,  $gz_n \preceq gz_{n+1}$ , and  $gw_n \preceq gw_{n+1}$ , we get

$$gx_n = F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, z_{n-1}, w_{n-1}) \preceq F(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n) = gx_{n+1},$$

$$gy_n = F(y_{n-1}, z_{n-1}, w_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) \preceq F(y_n, z_n, w_n, x_n) = gy_{n+1},$$

$$gz_n = F(z_{n-1}, w_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}) \preceq F(z_n, w_n, x_n, y_n) = gz_{n+1},$$

$$gw_n = F(w_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, z_{n-1}) \preceq F(w_n, x_n, y_n, z_n) = gw_{n+1}.$$

Hence from condition (iii) we conclude that

$$F(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n) \preceq F(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, z_{n+1}, w_{n+1}),$$

$$F(y_n, z_n, w_n, x_n) \preceq F(y_{n+1}, z_{n+1}, w_{n+1}, x_{n+1}),$$

$$F(z_n, w_n, x_n, y_n) \preceq F(z_{n+1}, w_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}),$$

$$F(w_n, x_n, y_n, z_n) \preceq F(w_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, z_{n+1}).$$

So,  $gx_{n+1} \preceq gx_{n+2}$ ,  $gy_{n+1} \preceq gy_{n+2}$ ,  $gz_{n+1} \preceq gz_{n+2}$  and  $gw_{n+1} \preceq gw_{n+2}$ . Thus (2.6) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$gx_k = gx_{k+1}, \quad gy_k = gy_{k+1}, \\ gz_k = gz_{k+1} \quad \text{and} \quad gw_k = gw_{k+1},$$

then by (2.5) we get  $gx_k = F(x_k, y_k, z_k, w_k)$ ,  $gy_k = F(y_k, z_k, w_k, x_k)$ ,  $gz_k = F(z_k, w_k, x_k, y_k)$  and  $gw_k = F(w_k, x_k, y_k, z_k)$ . Hence  $(x_k, y_k, z_k, w_k)$  is a quadruple coincidence point of  $F$  and  $g$ . So, we assume that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  at least  $gx_n \neq gx_{n+1}$  or  $gy_n \neq gy_{n+1}$  or  $gz_n \neq gz_{n+1}$  or  $gw_n \neq gw_{n+1}$ . Since  $gx_n \preceq gx_{n+1}$ ,  $gy_n \preceq gy_{n+1}$ ,  $gz_n \preceq gz_{n+1}$  and  $gw_n \preceq gw_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then from (2.3) and (2.5) we obtain

$$\psi(sd(gx_n, gx_{n+1})) = \psi(sd(F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, z_{n-1}, w_{n-1}), F(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n))) \leq \psi(\max\{d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n), d(gw_{n-1}, gw_n)\} - \phi(d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n), d(gw_{n-1}, gw_n))), \quad (2.7)$$

$$\psi(sd(gy_n, gy_{n+1})) = \psi(sd(F(y_{n-1}, z_{n-1}, w_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), F(y_n, z_n, w_n, x_n))) \leq \psi(\max\{d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n), d(gw_{n-1}, gw_n), d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)\} - \phi(d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n), d(gw_{n-1}, gw_n), d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n))), \quad (2.8)$$

$$\psi(sd(gz_n, gz_{n+1})) = \psi(sd(F(z_{n-1}, w_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), F(z_n, w_n, x_n, y_n))) \leq \psi(\max\{d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n), d(gw_{n-1}, gw_n), d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n)\} - \phi(d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n), d(gw_{n-1}, gw_n), d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n))), \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\psi(sd(gw_n, gw_{n+1})) = \psi(sd(F(w_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, z_{n-1}), F(w_n, x_n, y_n, z_n))) \leq \psi(\max\{d(gw_{n-1}, gw_n), d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n)\} - \phi(d(gw_{n-1}, gw_n), d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n))). \quad (2.10)$$

Set

$$\delta_n = \max\{d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), d(gz_n, gz_{n+1}), d(gw_n, gw_{n+1})\}.$$

From (2.7) to (2.10) and Remark 2.1, it follows that

$$\psi(s\delta_n) = \max\{\psi(sd(gx_n, gx_{n+1})), \psi(sd(gy_n, gy_{n+1})), \psi(sd(gz_n, gz_{n+1})), \psi(sd(gw_n, gw_{n+1}))\} \leq \psi(\delta_{n-1}) - \min\{\phi(d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n), d(gw_{n-1}, gw_n)), \phi(d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n), d(gw_{n-1}, gw_n), d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)), \phi(d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n), d(gw_{n-1}, gw_n), d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n)), \phi(d(gw_{n-1}, gw_n), d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n))\}. \quad (2.11)$$

and since  $\psi$  is non-decreasing then from (2.11) we have that

$$\psi(\delta_n) \leq \psi(s\delta_n) \leq \psi(\delta_{n-1}) - \min\{\phi(d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n), d(gw_{n-1}, gw_n)), \phi(d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n), d(gw_{n-1}, gw_n), d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)), \phi(d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n), d(gw_{n-1}, gw_n), d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n)), \phi(d(gw_{n-1}, gw_n), d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n))\}. \quad (2.12)$$

Hence,

$$\psi(\delta_n) \leq \psi(\delta_{n-1}) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.13)$$

Since  $\psi$  is non-decreasing, we have  $\delta_n \leq \delta_{n-1}$  for all  $n$ . Therefore,  $\{\delta_n\}$  is a non-increasing sequence, so there is some  $\delta \geq 0$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n = \delta.$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , in (2.12), we get

$$\psi(\delta) \leq \psi(\delta) - \min\{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n), d(gw_{n-1}, gw_n)), \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n), d(gw_{n-1}, gw_n), d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)), \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n), d(gw_{n-1}, gw_n), d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n)), \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(gw_{n-1}, gw_n), d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n))\} \leq \psi(\delta).$$

Hence,

$$\min \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n), d(gw_{n-1}, gw_n)), \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n), d(gw_{n-1}, gw_n), d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)), \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n), d(gw_{n-1}, gw_n), d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n)), \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(gw_{n-1}, gw_n), d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n))\} = 0.$$

That is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n), d(gw_{n-1}, gw_n)) = 0 \text{ or } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n), d(gw_{n-1}, gw_n), d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)) = 0 \text{ or } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n), d(gw_{n-1}, gw_n), d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n)) = 0 \text{ or } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(gw_{n-1}, gw_n), d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n), d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n)) = 0.$$



So, using the properties of  $\phi$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n) = 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gz_{n-1}, gz_n) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gw_{n-1}, gw_n) = 0.$$

Thus,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{n-1} = 0$ . Therefore  $\delta = 0$ . Now, we show that  $\{gx_n\}$ ,  $\{gy_n\}$ ,  $\{gz_n\}$ , and  $\{gw_n\}$  are  $b$ -Cauchy sequences in  $(X, d)$ , that is, we show that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m, n \geq k$ ,

$$\max\{d(gx_m, gx_n), d(gy_m, gy_n), d(gz_m, gz_n), d(gw_m, gw_n)\} < \varepsilon.$$

Suppose the contrary, that is at least one of the sequences  $\{gx_n\}$ ,  $\{gy_n\}$ ,  $\{gz_n\}$  and  $\{gw_n\}$  is not a  $b$ -Cauchy sequence, so there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  for which we can find subsequences  $\{gx_{m(k)}\}$ ,  $\{gx_{n(k)}\}$  of  $\{gx_n\}$ ,  $\{gy_{m(k)}\}$ ,  $\{gy_{n(k)}\}$  of  $\{gy_n\}$ ,  $\{gz_{m(k)}\}$ ,  $\{gz_{n(k)}\}$  of  $\{gz_n\}$  and  $\{gw_{m(k)}\}$ ,  $\{gw_{n(k)}\}$  of  $\{gw_n\}$  with  $n(k) > m(k) \geq k$  such that

$$\max\{d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)}), d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)}), d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)}), \\ d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)})\} \geq \varepsilon, \quad (2.14)$$

for every integer  $k$ , let  $n(k)$  be the least positive integer with  $n(k) > m(k) \geq k$  satisfying (2.14) and such that

$$\max\{d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}), d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1}), \\ d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}), d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)-1})\} < \varepsilon. \quad (2.15)$$

Using the  $b$ -triangle inequality, we get

$$d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)}) \leq s[d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}) + d(gx_{n(k)-1}, \\ gx_{n(k)})]d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)}) \leq s[d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1}) + d(gy_{n(k)-1}, \\ gy_{n(k)})]d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)}) \leq s[d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}) \\ + d(gz_{n(k)-1}, gz_{n(k)})] \text{ and } d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)}) \leq s[d(gw_{m(k)}, \\ gw_{n(k)-1}) + d(gw_{n(k)-1}, gw_{n(k)})]. \quad (2.16)$$

Hence from (2.14) and (2.16), we have

$$\varepsilon \leq \max\{d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)}), d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)}), d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)}), \\ d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)})\} \leq s[\max\{d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}), d(gy_{m(k)}, \\ gy_{n(k)-1}), d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}), d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)-1})\} \\ + \max\{d(gx_{n(k)-1}, gx_{n(k)}), d(gy_{n(k)-1}, gy_{n(k)}), \\ d(gz_{n(k)-1}, gz_{n(k)}), d(gw_{n(k)-1}, gw_{n(k)})\}] = s \max\{d(gx_{m(k)}, \\ gx_{n(k)-1}), d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1}), d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}), d(gw_{m(k)}, \\ gw_{n(k)-1})\} + s\delta_{n(k)-1}.$$

Taking the upper and lower limits as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in the above inequality, from (2.14), (2.15) and as  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{n-1} = 0$ , we conclude that

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{s} \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max\{d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)}), d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)}), d(gz_{m(k)}, \\ gz_{n(k)}), d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)})\} < \varepsilon, \quad (2.17)$$

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{s} \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max\{d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}), d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1}), \\ d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}), d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)-1})\} < \varepsilon, \quad (2.18)$$

and

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{s} \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max\{d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}), d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1}), \\ d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}), d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)-1})\} < \varepsilon. \quad (2.19)$$

Also, from the  $b$ -triangle inequality we obtain

$$\varepsilon \leq \max\{d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)}), d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)}), d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)}), \\ d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)})\} \leq s[\max\{d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{m(k)+1}), d(gy_{m(k)}, \\ gy_{m(k)+1}), d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{m(k)+1}), d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{m(k)+1})\} \\ + \max\{d(gx_{m(k)+1}, gx_{n(k)}), d(gy_{m(k)+1}, gy_{n(k)}), d(gz_{m(k)+1}, \\ gz_{n(k)}), d(gw_{m(k)+1}, gw_{n(k)})\}] \\ = s\delta_{m(k)} + s \max\{d(gx_{m(k)+1}, gx_{n(k)}), d(gy_{m(k)+1}, gy_{n(k)}), \\ d(gz_{m(k)+1}, gz_{n(k)}), d(gw_{m(k)+1}, gw_{n(k)})\},$$

and

$$\max\{d(gx_{m(k)+1}, gx_{n(k)}), d(gy_{m(k)+1}, gy_{n(k)}), d(gz_{m(k)+1}, gz_{n(k)}), \\ d(gw_{m(k)+1}, gw_{n(k)})\} \leq s[\max\{d(gx_{m(k)+1}, gx_{m(k)}) + d(gy_{m(k)+1}, \\ d(gy_{m(k)}) + d(gz_{m(k)+1}, gz_{m(k)}) + d(gw_{m(k)+1}, gw_{m(k)})\} \\ + \max\{d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)}), d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)}), d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)}), \\ d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)})\}] = s\delta_{m(k)} + s \max\{d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)}), \\ d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)}), d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)}), d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)})\}.$$

Taking the upper limit as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in the above two inequalities, using (2.14) and as  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{n-1} = 0$ , we have

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{s} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max\{d(gx_{m(k)+1}, gx_{n(k)}), d(gy_{m(k)+1}, gy_{n(k)}), \\ d(gz_{m(k)+1}, gz_{n(k)}), d(gw_{m(k)+1}, gw_{n(k)})\} < s\varepsilon. \quad (2.20)$$

Since  $gx_n \asymp gx_{n+1}$ ,  $gy_n \asymp gy_{n+1}$ ,  $gz_n \asymp gz_{n+1}$  and  $gw_n \asymp gw_{n+1}$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , then  $gx_{m(k)} \asymp gx_{n(k)-1}$ ,  $gy_{m(k)} \asymp gy_{n(k)-1}$ ,  $gz_{m(k)} \asymp gz_{n(k)-1}$  and  $gw_{m(k)} \asymp gw_{n(k)-1}$ .

Putting  $x = x_{m(k)}$ ,  $y = y_{m(k)}$ ,  $z = z_{m(k)}$ ,  $w = w_{m(k)}$ ,  $u = x_{n(k)-1}$ ,  $v = y_{n(k)-1}$ ,  $r = z_{n(k)-1}$ ,  $t = w_{n(k)-1}$ , in (2.3) for all  $k \geq 0$ , we conclude that



$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi(sd(gx_{m(k)+1}, gx_{n(k)})) \\
&= \psi(sd(F(x_{m(k)}, y_{m(k)}, z_{m(k)}, w_{m(k)}), F(x_{n(k)-1}, y_{n(k)-1}, \\
&\quad z_{n(k)-1}, w_{n(k)-1}))) \\
&\leq \psi(\max\{d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}), d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1}), \\
&\quad d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}), d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)-1})\}) \\
&\quad - \phi(d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}), d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1}), \\
&\quad d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}), d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)-1}))), \quad (2.21)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi(sd(gy_{m(k)+1}, gy_{n(k)})) \\
&= \psi(sd(F(y_{m(k)}, z_{m(k)}, w_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)}), F(y_{n(k)-1}, \\
&\quad z_{n(k)-1}, w_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}))) \\
&\leq \psi(\max\{d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1}), d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}), \\
&\quad d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)-1}), d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1})\}) \\
&\quad - \phi(d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1}), d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}), d(gw_{m(k)}, \\
&\quad gw_{n(k)-1}), d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}))), \quad (2.22)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi(sd(gz_{m(k)+1}, gz_{n(k)})) \\
&= \psi(sd(F(z_{m(k)}, w_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)}, y_{m(k)}), F(z_{n(k)-1}, \\
&\quad w_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}, y_{n(k)-1}))) \\
&\leq \psi(\max\{d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}), d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)-1}), \\
&\quad d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}), d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1})\}) \\
&\quad - \phi(d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}), d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)-1}), \\
&\quad d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}), d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1}))), \quad (2.23)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi(sd(gw_{m(k)+1}, gw_{n(k)})) \\
&= \psi(sd(F(w_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)}, y_{m(k)}, z_{m(k)}), F(w_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}, \\
&\quad y_{n(k)-1}, z_{n(k)-1}))) \\
&\leq \psi(\max\{d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)-1}), d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}), \\
&\quad d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1}), d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1})\}) \\
&\quad - \phi(d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)-1}), d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}), d(gy_{m(k)}, \\
&\quad gy_{n(k)-1}), d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}))). \quad (2.24)
\end{aligned}$$

From (2.21)–(2.24) and Remark 2.1, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi(s \max\{d(gx_{m(k)+1}, gx_{n(k)}), d(gy_{m(k)+1}, gy_{n(k)}), \\
&\quad d(gz_{m(k)+1}, gz_{n(k)}), d(gw_{m(k)+1}, gw_{n(k)})\}) \\
&\leq \psi(\max\{d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}), d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1}), \\
&\quad d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}), d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)-1})\}) \\
&\quad - \min\{\phi(d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}), d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1}), d(gz_{m(k)}, \\
&\quad gz_{n(k)-1}), d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)-1})), \\
&\quad \phi(d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1}), d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}), d(gw_{m(k)}, \\
&\quad gw_{n(k)-1}), d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1})), \\
&\quad \phi(d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}), d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)-1}), d(gx_{m(k)}, \\
&\quad gx_{n(k)-1}), d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1})), \\
&\quad \phi(d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)-1}), d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}), d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1}), \\
&\quad d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}))\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the upper limit as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in the above inequality and using (2.18) and (2.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi(\varepsilon) = \psi\left(s \frac{\varepsilon}{s}\right) \\
&\leq \psi(\varepsilon) - \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \min\{\phi(d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}), \\
&\quad d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1}), d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}), d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)-1})), \\
&\quad \phi(d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1}), d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}), d(gw_{m(k)}, \\
&\quad gw_{n(k)-1}), d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1})), \\
&\quad \phi(d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}), d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)-1}), d(gx_{m(k)}, \\
&\quad gx_{n(k)-1}), d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1})), \\
&\quad \phi(d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)-1}), d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}), d(gy_{m(k)}, \\
&\quad gy_{n(k)-1}), d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}))\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \min\{\phi(d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}), d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1}), \\
&\quad d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}), d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)-1})), \\
&\quad \phi(d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1}), d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}), d(gw_{m(k)}, \\
&\quad gw_{n(k)-1}), d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1})), \\
&\quad \phi(d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}), d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)-1}), \\
&\quad d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}), d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1})), \\
&\quad \phi(d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)-1}), d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}), d(gy_{m(k)}, \\
&\quad gy_{n(k)-1}), d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}))\} = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,



$$\begin{aligned}
& \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}), d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1}), \\
& \quad d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}), d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)-1})) \\
& \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1}), d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}), \\
& \quad d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)-1}), d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1})) \\
& \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}), d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)-1}), \\
& \quad d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}), d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1})) \\
& \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)-1}), d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}), \\
& \quad d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1}), d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}))
\end{aligned}$$

Using the properties of  $\phi$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}) = 0, \\
& \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1}) = 0, \\
& \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \\
& \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)-1}) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max\{d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}), d(gy_{m(k)}, gy_{n(k)-1}), \\
& \quad d(gz_{m(k)}, gz_{n(k)-1}), d(gw_{m(k)}, gw_{n(k)-1})\} = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction to (2.19). Thus,  $\{gx_n\}$ ,  $\{gy_n\}$ ,  $\{gz_n\}$ , and  $\{gw_n\}$  are  $b$ -Cauchy sequences in  $X$ . Now, we show that  $F$  and  $g$  have a quadruple coincidence point. Since  $X$  is  $b$ -complete and  $\{gx_n\}$ ,  $\{gy_n\}$ ,  $\{gz_n\}$ , and  $\{gw_n\}$  are  $b$ -Cauchy sequences in  $X$ , there exists  $x, y, z, w \in X$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gx_n, x) = 0, \\
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gy_n, y) = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gz_n, z) = 0 \quad \text{and} \\
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gw_n, w) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

From (2.5) and (2.25), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n) = x, \\
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gy_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(y_n, z_n, w_n, x_n) = y, \\
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gz_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(z_n, w_n, x_n, y_n) = z \\
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gw_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(w_n, x_n, y_n, z_n) = w.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence from the compatibility of  $F$  and  $g$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gF(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n), F(gx_n, gy_n, gz_n, gw_n)) = 0, \\
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gF(y_n, z_n, w_n, x_n), F(gy_n, gz_n, gw_n, gx_n)) = 0, \\
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gF(z_n, w_n, x_n, y_n), F(gz_n, gw_n, gx_n, gy_n)) = 0, \\
& \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gF(w_n, x_n, y_n, z_n), F(gw_n, gx_n, gy_n, gz_n)) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Further, from the continuity of  $F$  and  $g$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gF(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gg_{x_{n+1}} = gx, \\
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(gx_n, gy_n, gz_n, gw_n) = F(x, y, z, w), \\
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gF(y_n, z_n, w_n, x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gg_{y_{n+1}} = gy, \\
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(gy_n, gz_n, gw_n, gx_n) = F(y, z, w, x), \\
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gF(z_n, w_n, x_n, y_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gg_{z_{n+1}} = gz, \\
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(gz_n, gw_n, gx_n, gy_n) = F(z, w, x, y), \\
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gF(w_n, x_n, y_n, z_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gg_{w_{n+1}} = gw \quad \text{and} \\
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(gw_n, gx_n, gy_n, gz_n) = F(w, x, y, z).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus from (2.26) and using Lemma 1.1, we have that  $gx = F(x, y, z, w)$ ,  $gy = F(y, z, w, x)$ ,  $gz = F(z, w, x, y)$ ,  $gw = F(w, x, y, z)$ . Hence,  $(x, y, z, w)$  is a quadruple coincidence point of  $F$  and  $g$ .  $\square$

By removing the continuity and compatibility assumptions of  $F$  and  $g$  in Theorem 2.1, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $(X, d, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered  $b$ -metric space with parameter  $s \geq 1$ . Let  $F : X^4 \rightarrow X$  and  $g : X \rightarrow X$  be two mappings satisfying (2.3) for all  $x, y, z, w, u, v, r, t \in X$ , such that  $gx \preceq gu$ ,  $gy \preceq gv$ ,  $gz \preceq gr$  and  $gw \preceq gt$ , where  $\psi$  and  $\phi$  are the same as in Theorem 2.1. Suppose that*

- (i)  $F(X^4) \subseteq g(X)$ ,
- (ii)  $F$  and  $g$  satisfy property (2.1),
- (iii) there exist  $x_0, y_0, z_0, w_0 \in X$  such that  $gx_0 \preceq F(x_0, y_0, z_0, w_0)$ ,  $gy_0 \preceq F(y_0, z_0, w_0, x_0)$ ,  $gz_0 \preceq F(z_0, w_0, x_0, y_0)$  and  $gw_0 \preceq F(w_0, x_0, y_0, z_0)$ ,
- (iv)  $g(X)$  is a  $b$ -complete subspace of  $X$ ,
- (v) if  $x_n \rightarrow x$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in  $X$ , then  $x_n \preceq x$  for  $n$  sufficiently large.



Then there exist  $x, y, z, w \in X$  such that  $gx = F(x, y, z, w)$ ,  $gy = F(y, z, w, x)$ ,  $gz = F(z, w, x, y)$ , and  $gw = F(w, x, y, z)$ . Moreover, if  $gx_0, gy_0, gz_0$  and  $gw_0$  are comparable, then  $gx = gy = gz = gw$ , and if  $F$  and  $g$  are  $w$ -compatible, then  $F$  and  $g$  have a quadruple coincidence point of the form  $(p, p, p, p)$ .

**Proof** From Theorem 2.1, we have that  $\{gx_n\}, \{gy_n\}, \{gz_n\}$  and  $\{gw_n\}$  are  $b$ -Cauchy sequences in  $X$ . Since  $g(X)$  is a  $b$ -complete subspace of  $X$  and  $\{gx_n\}, \{gy_n\}, \{gz_n\}, \{gw_n\} \subseteq g(X)$ , there exist  $x, y, z, w \in X$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gx_n, gx) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gy_n, gy) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gz_n, gz) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gw_n, gw) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $gx_n \rightarrow gx$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in  $X$ , then from condition (v) we obtain  $gx_n \asymp gx$  for  $n$  sufficiently large. Similarly, we may show that  $gy_n \asymp gy$ ,  $gz_n \asymp gz$  and  $gw_n \asymp gw$  for  $n$  sufficiently large. For such  $n$ , using (2.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(sd(F(x, y, z, w), gx_{n+1})) &= \psi(sd(F(x, y, z, w), F(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n))) \\ &\leq \psi(\max\{d(gx, gx_n), d(gy, gy_n), d(gz, gz_n), d(gw, gw_n)\}) \\ &\quad - \phi(d(gx, gx_n), d(gy, gy_n), d(gz, gz_n), d(gw, gw_n)). \end{aligned}$$

From the above inequality, using Lemma 1.1, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and using the properties of  $\phi$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi\left(\frac{1}{s}d(F(x, y, z, w), gx)\right) &\leq \psi(s \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(F(x, y, z, w), gx_{n+1})) \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(sd(F(x, y, z, w), gx_{n+1})) \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(\max\{d(gx, gx_n), d(gy, gy_n), d(gz, gz_n), d(gw, gw_n)\}) \\ &\quad - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(gx, gx_n), d(gy, gy_n), d(gz, gz_n), d(gw, gw_n)) \\ &\leq \psi(0) - \phi(0, 0, 0, 0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $F(x, y, z, w) = gx$ . Similarly, we can show that  $F(y, z, w, x) = gy$ ,  $F(z, w, x, y) = gz$  and  $F(w, x, y, z) = gw$ .

Now, assume that  $gx_0 \asymp gy_0 \asymp gz_0 \asymp gw_0$ . From (2.6), we have

$$gx_n \asymp gx_0, \quad gy_n \asymp gy_0, \quad gz_n \asymp gz_0 \quad \text{and} \quad gw_n \asymp gw_0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} gx &\asymp gx_n \asymp gx_0 \asymp gy_0 \asymp gy_n \asymp gy, \\ gy &\asymp gy_n \asymp gy_0 \asymp gz_0 \asymp gz_n \asymp gz \\ \text{and} \quad gz &\asymp gz_n \asymp gz_0 \asymp gw_0 \asymp gw_n \asymp gw \end{aligned}$$

for  $n$  sufficiently large.

Hence  $gx \asymp gy \asymp gz \asymp gw$ . Therefore by (2.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi(\max\{d(gx, gy), d(gy, gz), d(gz, gw), d(gw, gx)\}) \\ &\leq \psi(s \max\{d(gx, gy), d(gy, gz), d(gz, gw), d(gw, gx)\}) \\ &\leq \psi(\max\{d(gx, gy), d(gy, gz), d(gz, gw), d(gw, gx)\}) \\ &\quad - \min\{\phi(d(gx, gy), d(gy, gz), d(gz, gw), d(gw, gx)), \\ &\quad \phi(d(gy, gz), d(gz, gw), d(gw, gx), d(gx, gy)), \\ &\quad \phi(d(gz, gw), d(gw, gx), d(gx, gy), d(gy, gz)), \\ &\quad \phi(d(gw, gx), d(gx, gy), d(gy, gz), d(gz, gw))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &\min\{\phi(d(gx, gy), d(gy, gz), d(gz, gw), d(gw, gx)), \\ &\phi(d(gy, gz), d(gz, gw), d(gw, gx), d(gx, gy)), \\ &\phi(d(gz, gw), d(gw, gx), d(gx, gy), d(gy, gz)), \\ &\phi(d(gw, gx), d(gx, gy), d(gy, gz), d(gz, gw))\} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi(d(gx, gy), d(gy, gz), d(gz, gw), d(gw, gx)) \\ &= 0 \text{ or } \phi(d(gy, gz), d(gz, gw), d(gw, gx), d(gx, gy)) \\ &= 0 \text{ or } \phi(d(gz, gw), d(gw, gx), d(gx, gy), d(gy, gz)) \\ &= 0 \text{ or } \phi(d(gw, gx), d(gx, gy), d(gy, gz), d(gz, gw)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then from the properties of  $\phi$  we have  $d(gx, gy) = d(gy, gz) = d(gz, gw) = d(gw, gx) = 0$ , that is  $gx = gy = gz = gw$ . Now, suppose that  $gx = gy = gz = gw = p$ , since  $F$  and  $g$  are  $w$ -compatible, then

$$gp = ggx = g(F(x, y, z, w)) = F(gx, gy, gz, gw) = F(p, p, p, p).$$

So,  $F$  and  $g$  have a quadruple coincidence point of the form  $(p, p, p, p)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.1** Replace the contractive condition (2.3) of Theorem 2.1 (or Theorem 2.2, respectively) by the following condition:

there exist  $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $\psi$  is an altering distance function and  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous with  $\phi(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi(sd(F(x, y, z, w), F(u, v, r, t))) \leq \psi(\max\{d(gx, gu), \\ &d(gy, gv), d(gz, gr), d(gw, gt)\}) \\ &\quad - \phi(\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gr), d(gw, gt)\}), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z, w, u, v, r, t \in X$  and  $gx \asymp gu, gy \asymp gv, gz \asymp gr$  and  $gw \asymp gt$ . Let the other conditions of Theorem 2.1 (or Theorem 2.2, respectively) be satisfied. Then,  $F$  and  $g$  have a quadruple coincidence point.

**Proof** We replace  $\phi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = \phi(\max\{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\})$  in Theorem 2.1 (or Theorem 2.2, respectively). So  $\phi$  is continuous and  $\phi(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0$ .  $\square$



**Corollary 2.2** Replace the contractive condition (2.3) of Theorem 2.1 (or Theorem 2.2, respectively) by the following condition:

$$d(F(x, y, z, w), F(u, v, r, t)) \leq \frac{k}{4s} \max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gr), d(gw, gt)\},$$

for all  $x, y, z, w, u, v, r, t \in X$ , and  $gx \asymp gu$ ,  $gy \asymp gv$ ,  $gz \asymp gr$  and  $gw \asymp gt$ , where  $k \in [0, 1)$ . Let the other conditions of Theorem 2.1 (or Theorem 2.2) be satisfied. Then,  $F$  and  $g$  have a quadruple coincidence point.

*Proof* We take  $\psi(t) = \frac{t}{4}$  and  $\phi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = \frac{(1-k)}{4} \max(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4)$  in Theorem 2.1 (or Theorem 2.2, respectively).  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3** Replace the contractive condition (2.3) of Theorem 2.1 (or Theorem 2.2, respectively) by the following condition:

there exist  $\phi : [0, \infty)^4 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous with  $\phi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = 0$  if and only if  $t_1 = t_2 = t_3 = t_4 = 0$  such that

$$d(F(x, y, z, w), F(u, v, r, t)) \leq \frac{1}{s} \max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gr), d(gw, gt)\} - \frac{1}{s} \phi(d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gr), d(gw, gt)),$$

for all  $x, y, z, w, u, v, r, t \in X$  and  $gx \asymp gu$ ,  $gy \asymp gv$ ,  $gz \asymp gr$  and  $gw \asymp gt$ . Let the other conditions of Theorem 2.1 (or Theorem 2.2, respectively) be satisfied. Then,  $F$  and  $g$  have a quadruple coincidence point.

*Proof* Taking  $\psi(t) = t$  in Theorem 2.1 (or Theorem 2.2, respectively), we have Corollary 2.3.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4** Replace the contractive condition (2.3) of Theorem 2.1 (or Theorem 2.2, respectively) by the following condition:

there exist  $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $\psi$  is an altering distance function, and  $\phi : [0, \infty)^4 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous with  $\phi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = 0$  if and only if  $t_1 = t_2 = t_3 = t_4 = 0$  such that

$$\psi(sd(F(x, y, z, w), F(u, v, r, t))) \leq \psi\left(\frac{d(gx, gu) + d(gy, gv) + d(gz, gr) + d(gw, gt)}{4}\right) - \phi(d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gr), d(gw, gt)),$$

for all  $x, y, z, w, u, v, r, t \in X$  and  $gx \asymp gu$ ,  $gy \asymp gv$ ,  $gz \asymp gr$  and  $gw \asymp gt$ . Let the other conditions of Theorem 2.1 (or Theorem 2.2, respectively) be satisfied. Then,  $F$  and  $g$  have a quadruple coincidence point.

*Proof* Since

$$\frac{(d(gx, gu) + d(gy, gv) + d(gz, gr) + d(gw, gt))}{4} \leq \max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gr), d(gw, gt)\},$$

and since  $\psi$  is assumed to be nondecreasing, then we apply Theorem 2.1 (or Theorem 2.2 respectively).  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5** Replace the contractive condition (2.3) of Theorem 2.1 (or Theorem 2.2 respectively) by the following condition

$$d(F(x, y, z, w), F(u, v, r, t)) \leq \frac{k}{4s} (d(gx, gu) + d(gy, gv) + d(gz, gr) + d(gw, gt)),$$

for all  $x, y, z, w, u, v, r, t \in X$  and  $gx \asymp gu$ ,  $gy \asymp gv$ ,  $gz \asymp gr$  and  $gw \asymp gt$ , where  $k \in [0, 1)$ . Let the other conditions of Theorem 2.1 (or Theorem 2.2 respectively) be satisfied. Then  $F$  and  $g$  have a quadruple coincidence point.

*Proof* We take  $\psi(t) = t$  and  $\phi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = (\frac{1-k}{4})(t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4)$  in Corollary 2.4.  $\square$

Now, we obtain some quadruple coincidence point results for mappings satisfying a contractive condition of integral type. We denote by  $\Lambda$  the set of all functions  $\alpha : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  verifying the following conditions:

- (i)  $\alpha$  is a positive Lebesgue integrable mapping on each compact subset of  $[0, +\infty)$
- (ii) for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have  $\int_0^\varepsilon \alpha(t) dt > 0$ .

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  be a fixed positive integer. Let  $\{\alpha_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq N}$  be a family of  $N$  functions that belong to  $\Lambda$ . For all  $t \geq 0$ , we denote  $(I_i)_{i=1, \dots, N}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(t) &= \int_0^t \alpha_1(s) ds, \\ I_2(t) &= \int_0^{I_1(t)} \alpha_2(s) ds = \int_0^t \alpha_1(s) ds \int_0^{\alpha_1(s)} \alpha_2(s) ds, \\ I_3(t) &= \int_0^{I_2(t)} \alpha_3(s) ds = \int_0^t \alpha_1(s) ds \int_0^{\alpha_1(s)} \alpha_2(s) ds \int_0^{\alpha_2(s)} \alpha_3(s) ds, \\ &\dots \\ I_N(t) &= \int_0^{I_{N-1}(t)} \alpha_N(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

We have the following result.

**Corollary 2.6** Replace the contractive condition (2.3) of Theorem 2.1 (or Theorem 2.2 respectively) by the following condition:

$$\begin{aligned} I_N(\psi(sd(F(x, y, z, w), F(u, v, r, t)))) &\leq I_N(\psi(\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gr), d(gw, gt)\})) \\ &\quad - I_N(\phi(d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gr), d(gw, gt))). \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

Let the other conditions of Theorem 2.1 (or Theorem 2.2 respectively) be satisfied. Then  $F$  and  $g$  have a quadruple coincidence point.



**Proof** Consider the function  $\Psi = I_N \circ \psi$  and  $\Phi = I_N \circ \phi$ . Then (2.27) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi(sd(F(x, y, z, w), F(u, v, r, t))) \\ & \leq \Psi(\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gr), d(gw, gt)\}) \\ & \quad - \Phi(d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gr), d(gw, gt)). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show that  $\Psi$  is an altering distance function,  $\Phi$  is continuous and  $\Phi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = 0$  if and only if  $t_1 = t_2 = t_3 = t_4 = 0$ . Applying Theorem 2.1 (or Theorem 2.2 respectively) we obtain the proof.  $\square$

In the case  $N = 1$ , we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.7** Replace the contractive condition (2.3) of Theorems 2.1 (or Theorem 2.2 respectively) by the following: There exists  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\psi(sd(F(x, y, z, w), F(u, v, r, t)))} \alpha(t) dt \\ & \leq \int_0^{\psi(\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gr), d(gw, gt)\})} \alpha(t) dt \\ & \quad - \int_0^{\phi(d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gr), d(gw, gt))} \alpha(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Let the other conditions of Theorem 2.1 (or Theorem 2.2 respectively) be satisfied. Then  $F$  and  $g$  have a quadruple coincidence point.

### Uniqueness of quadruple fixed point

In this section, we will show the uniqueness of a quadruple common fixed point.

For a product  $X^4$  of a partially ordered set  $(X, \preceq)$ , we define a partial ordering in the following way. For all  $(x, y, z, w), (u, v, r, t) \in X^4$ ,

$$(x, y, z, w) \preceq (u, v, r, t) \Leftrightarrow x \preceq u, y \preceq v, z \preceq r, w \preceq t. \quad (3.1)$$

We say that  $(x, y, z, w)$  and  $(u, v, r, t)$  are comparable if

$$(x, y, z, w) \preceq (u, v, r, t) \text{ or } (u, v, r, t) \preceq (x, y, z, w).$$

Also, we say that  $(x, y, z, w)$  is equal to  $(u, v, r, t)$  if and only if  $x = u, y = v, z = r, w = t$ .

**Theorem 3.1** In addition to hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 (or Theorem 2.2, respectively) assume that for all quadruple coincidence points  $(x, y, z, w), (u, v, r, t) \in X^4$ , there exists  $(a, b, c, d) \in X^4$  such that

$(F(a, b, c, d), F(b, c, d, a), F(c, d, a, b), F(d, a, b, c))$  is comparable to both

$(F(x, y, z, w), F(y, z, w, x), F(z, w, x, y), F(w, x, y, z))$  and  $(F(u, v, r, t), F(v, r, t, u), F(r, t, u, v), F(t, u, v, r))$ . Then  $F$  and  $g$  have a unique quadruple common fixed point  $(x, y, z, w)$  such that  $x = gx = F(x, y, z, w)$ ,  $y = gy = F(y, z, w, x)$ ,  $z = gz = F(z, w, x, y)$ , and  $w = gw = F(w, x, y, z)$ .

**Proof** Theorem 2.1 (or Theorem 2.2 respectively) implies that The set of quadruple coincidence points of  $F$  and  $g$  is not empty. Suppose that  $(x, y, z, w)$  and  $(u, v, r, t)$  are two quadruple coincidence points of  $F$  and  $g$ , that is,  $F(x, y, z, w) = gx$ ,  $F(u, v, r, t) = gu$ ,  $F(y, z, w, x) = gy$ ,  $F(v, r, t, u) = gv$ ,  $F(z, w, x, y) = gz$ ,  $F(r, t, u, v) = gr$ ,  $F(w, x, y, z) = gw$ ,  $F(t, u, v, r) = gt$ . We show that  $(gx, gy, gz, gw) = (gu, gv, gr, gt)$ . By assumption, there exists  $(a, b, c, d) \in X^4$  such that  $(F(a, b, c, d), F(b, c, d, a), F(c, d, a, b), F(d, a, b, c))$  is comparable to both

$$(F(x, y, z, w), F(y, z, w, x), F(z, w, x, y), F(w, x, y, z)) \text{ and } (F(u, v, r, t), F(v, r, t, u), F(r, t, u, v), F(t, u, v, r)).$$

Since  $F(X^4) \subseteq g(X)$ , we can define the sequences  $\{ga_n\}, \{gb_n\}, \{gc_n\}$  and  $\{gd_n\}$  such that  $a_0 = a, b_0 = b, c_0 = c, d_0 = d$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} ga_{n+1} &= F(a_n, b_n, c_n, d_n), & gb_{n+1} &= F(b_n, c_n, d_n, a_n), \\ gc_{n+1} &= F(c_n, d_n, a_n, b_n), & gd_{n+1} &= F(d_n, a_n, b_n, c_n), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ . Also, in the same way define the sequences  $\{gx_n\}, \{gy_n\}, \{gz_n\}, \{gw_n\}$  and  $\{gu_n\}, \{gv_n\}, \{gr_n\}, \{gt_n\}$ , such that  $x_0 = x, y_0 = y, z_0 = z, w_0 = w$ , and  $u_0 = u, v_0 = v, r_0 = r, t_0 = t$ , by

$$\begin{aligned} gx_{n+1} &= F(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n), & gy_{n+1} &= F(y_n, z_n, w_n, x_n), \\ gz_{n+1} &= F(z_n, w_n, x_n, y_n), & gw_{n+1} &= F(w_n, x_n, y_n, z_n), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} gu_{n+1} &= F(u_n, v_n, r_n, t_n), & gv_{n+1} &= F(v_n, r_n, t_n, u_n), \\ gr_{n+1} &= F(r_n, t_n, u_n, v_n), & gt_{n+1} &= F(t_n, u_n, v_n, r_n), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ . Since  $(x, y, z, w)$  and  $(u, v, r, t)$  are quadruple coincidence points of  $F$  and  $g$ , then  $gx_n = F(x, y, z, w)$ ,  $gu_n = F(u, v, r, t)$ ,  $gy_n = F(y, z, w, x)$ ,  $gv_n = F(v, r, t, u)$ ,  $gz_n = F(z, w, x, y)$ ,  $gr_n = F(r, t, u, v)$ ,  $gw_n = F(w, x, y, z)$ ,  $gt_n = F(t, u, v, r)$ , for all  $n \geq 0$ .

Since  $(F(x, y, z, w), F(y, z, w, x), F(z, w, x, y), F(w, x, y, z)) = (gx_1, gy_1, gz_1, gw_1) = (gx, gy, gz, gw)$  is comparable to  $(F(a, b, c, d), F(b, c, d, a), F(c, d, a, b), F(d, a, b, c)) = (ga_1, gb_1, gc_1, gd_1)$ , then it is easy to show  $gx \asymp ga_1$ ,  $gy \asymp gb_1$ ,  $gz \asymp gc_1$ ,  $gw \asymp gd_1$ . In a similar way, we get that

$$gx \asymp ga_n, \quad gy \asymp gb_n, \quad gz \asymp gc_n, \quad gw \asymp gd_n \text{ for all } n. \quad (3.2)$$



From (2.3) and (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(sd(gx, ga_{n+1})) \\ &= \psi(sd(F(x, y, z, w), F(a_n, b_n, c_n, d_n))) \\ &\leq \psi(\max\{d(gx, ga_n), d(gy, gb_n), d(gz, gc_n), d(gw, gd_n)\}) \\ &\quad - \phi(d(gx, ga_n), d(gy, gb_n), d(gz, gc_n), d(gw, gd_n)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(sd(gy, gb_{n+1})) \\ &= \psi(sd(F(y, z, w, x), F(b_n, c_n, d_n, a_n))) \\ &\leq \psi(\max\{d(gy, gb_n), d(gz, gc_n), d(gw, gd_n), d(gx, ga_n)\}) \\ &\quad - \phi(d(gy, gb_n), d(gz, gc_n), d(gw, gd_n), d(gx, ga_n)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(sd(gz, gc_{n+1})) \\ &= \psi(sd(F(z, w, x, y), F(c_n, d_n, a_n, b_n))) \\ &\leq \psi(\max\{d(gz, gc_n), d(gw, gd_n), d(gx, ga_n), d(gy, gb_n)\}) \\ &\quad - \phi(d(gz, gc_n), d(gw, gd_n), d(gx, ga_n), d(gy, gb_n)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(sd(gw, gd_{n+1})) \\ &= \psi(sd(F(w, x, y, z), F(d_n, a_n, b_n, c_n))) \\ &\leq \psi(\max\{d(gw, gd_n), d(gx, ga_n), d(gy, gb_n), d(gz, gc_n)\}) \\ &\quad - \phi(d(gw, gd_n), d(gx, ga_n), d(gy, gb_n), d(gz, gc_n)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Set

$$\gamma_n = \max\{d(gx, ga_n), d(gy, gb_n), d(gz, gc_n), d(gw, gd_n)\}.$$

By (3.3)–(3.6) and Remark 2.1, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(\gamma_{n+1}) \leq \psi(s\gamma_{n+1}) \\ &\leq \psi(\gamma_n) - \min\{\phi(d(gx, ga_n), d(gy, gb_n), d(gz, gc_n), d(gw, gd_n)), \\ &\phi(d(gy, gb_n), d(gz, gc_n), d(gw, gd_n), d(gx, ga_n)), \\ &\phi(d(gz, gc_n), d(gw, gd_n), d(gx, ga_n), d(gy, gb_n)), \\ &\phi(d(gw, gd_n), d(gx, ga_n), d(gy, gb_n), d(gz, gc_n))\} \leq \psi(\gamma_n). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Hence,

$$\psi(\gamma_{n+1}) \leq \psi(\gamma_n) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since  $\psi$  is nondecreasing, then  $\gamma_{n+1} \leq \gamma_n$  for all  $n$ . This implies that  $\gamma_n$  is a non-increasing sequence. Therefore, there exists  $\gamma \geq 0$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = \gamma.$$

We show that  $\gamma = 0$ . Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , in (3.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(\gamma) \leq \psi(\gamma) - \min\{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(gx, ga_n), d(gy, gb_n), \\ & d(gz, gc_n), d(gw, gd_n)), \\ & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(gy, gb_n), d(gz, gc_n), d(gw, gd_n), d(gx, ga_n)), \\ & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(gz, gc_n), d(gw, gd_n), d(gx, ga_n), d(gy, gb_n)), \\ & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(gw, gd_n), d(gx, ga_n), d(gy, gb_n), d(gz, gc_n))\} \leq \psi(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(gx, ga_n), d(gy, gb_n), d(gz, gc_n), d(gw, gd_n)), \\ & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(gy, gb_n), d(gz, gc_n), d(gw, gd_n), d(gx, ga_n)), \\ & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(gz, gc_n), d(gw, gd_n), d(gx, ga_n), d(gy, gb_n)), \\ & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(gw, gd_n), d(gx, ga_n), d(gy, gb_n), d(gz, gc_n))\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(gx, ga_n), d(gy, gb_n), d(gz, gc_n), d(gw, gd_n)) = 0 \quad \text{or} \\ & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(gy, gb_n), d(gz, gc_n), d(gw, gd_n), d(gx, ga_n)) = 0 \quad \text{or} \\ & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(gz, gc_n), d(gw, gd_n), d(gx, ga_n), d(gy, gb_n)) = 0 \quad \text{or} \\ & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(gw, gd_n), d(gx, ga_n), d(gy, gb_n), d(gz, gc_n)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using the properties of  $\phi$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gx, ga_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gy, gb_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gz, gc_n) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gw, gd_n) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = 0$ . Similarly, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gu, ga_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gv, gb_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gr, gc_n) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gt, gd_n) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

From (3.8) and (3.9), we conclude that  $(gx, gy, gz, gw) = (gu, gv, gr, gt)$ . That is the quadruple coincidence point of  $F$  and  $g$  is unique.

Denote  $gx = x^*$ ,  $gy = y^*$ ,  $gz = z^*$ ,  $gw = w^*$  and since  $gx = F(x, y, z, w)$ ,  $gy = F(y, z, w, x)$ ,  $gz = F(z, w, x, y)$ ,  $gw = F(w, x, y, z)$  so we have that

$$gx^* = ggx = gF(x, y, z, w), \quad gy^* = ggy = gF(y, z, w, x), \quad (3.10)$$

$$gz^* = ggz = gF(z, w, x, y) \text{ and } gw^* = ggw = gF(w, x, y, z). \quad (3.11)$$

By definition of the sequences  $\{gx_n\}$ ,  $\{gy_n\}$ ,  $\{gz_n\}$  and  $\{gw_n\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}gx_n &= F(x, y, z, w) = F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, z_{n-1}, w_{n-1}), \\gy_n &= F(y, z, w, x) = F(y_{n-1}, z_{n-1}, w_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), \\gz_n &= F(z, w, x, y) = F(z_{n-1}, w_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), \\gw_n &= F(w, x, y, z) = F(w_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, z_{n-1}).\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n) = F(x, y, z, w), \quad (3.12)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gy_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(y_n, z_n, w_n, x_n) = F(y, z, w, x), \quad (3.13)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gz_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(z_n, w_n, x_n, y_n) = F(z, w, x, y), \quad (3.14)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gw_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(w_n, x_n, y_n, z_n) = F(w, x, y, z). \quad (3.15)$$

**Case 1:** In Theorem 2.1, from compatibility and continuity of  $F$  and  $g$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gF(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n), F(gx_n, gy_n, gz_n, gw_n)) &= 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gF(y_n, z_n, w_n, x_n), F(gy_n, gz_n, gw_n, gx_n)) &= 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gF(z_n, w_n, x_n, y_n), F(gz_n, gw_n, gx_n, gy_n)) &= 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gF(w_n, x_n, y_n, z_n), F(gw_n, gx_n, gy_n, gz_n)) &= 0,\end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gF(x_n, y_n, z_n, w_n) &= gF(x, y, z, w), \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(gx_n, gy_n, gz_n, gw_n) &= F(gx, gy, gz, gw), \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gF(y_n, z_n, w_n, x_n) &= gF(y, z, w, x), \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(gy_n, gz_n, gw_n, gx_n) &= F(gy, gz, gw, gx), \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gF(z_n, w_n, x_n, y_n) &= gF(z, w, x, y), \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(gz_n, gw_n, gx_n, gy_n) &= F(gz, gw, gx, gy), \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gF(w_n, x_n, y_n, z_n) &= gF(w, x, y, z), \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(gw_n, gx_n, gy_n, gz_n) &= F(gw, gx, gy, gz).\end{aligned}$$

Thus from Lemma 1.1, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}gF(x, y, z, w) &= F(gx, gy, gz, gw), & gF(y, z, w, x) &= F(gy, gz, gw, gx), \\ gF(z, w, x, y) &= F(gz, gw, gx, gy), & gF(w, x, y, z) &= F(gw, gx, gy, gz).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, from (3.10) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}gx^* &= F(x^*, y^*, z^*, w^*), & gy^* &= F(y^*, z^*, w^*, x^*), \\ gz^* &= F(z^*, w^*, x^*, y^*), & gw^* &= F(w^*, x^*, y^*, z^*).\end{aligned}$$

**Case 2:** In Theorem 2.2 since  $F$  and  $g$  are  $w$ -compatible, then

$$\begin{aligned}gx^* &= ggx = g(F(x, y, z, w)) = F(gx, gy, gz, gw) = F(x^*, y^*, z^*, w^*) \\ gy^* &= ggy = g(F(y, z, w, x)) = F(gy, gz, gw, gx) = F(y^*, z^*, w^*, x^*) \\ gz^* &= ggz = g(F(z, w, x, y)) = F(gz, gw, gx, gy) = F(z^*, w^*, x^*, y^*) \\ gw^* &= ggw = g(F(w, x, y, z)) = F(gw, gx, gy, gz) = F(w^*, x^*, y^*, z^*).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, in the two cases we conclude that  $(x^*, y^*, z^*, w^*)$  is another quadruple coincidence point of  $F$  and  $g$ . Hence,  $(gx^*, gy^*, gz^*, gw^*) = (gx, gy, gz, gw)$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}gx^* &= gx = x^*, & gy^* &= gy = y^*, & gz^* &= gz = z^*, \\ \text{and } gw^* &= gw = w^*.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $(x^*, y^*, z^*, w^*)$  is a quadruple common fixed point of  $F$  and  $g$ . The uniqueness of a quadruple common fixed point follows easily from the uniqueness of a quadruple coincidence point.  $\square$

Now, we give an example to justify the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1.

**Example 3.1** Let  $X = [0, \infty)$  be equipped with the  $b$ -metric  $d(x, y) = (x - y)^2$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $s = 2$ , and suppose that  $\preceq$  is the usual ordering  $\leq$  on  $X$ . Obviously,  $(X, d, \preceq)$  is a partially ordered complete  $b$ -metric space. Let  $F : X^4 \rightarrow X$  and  $g : X \rightarrow X$  be defined by

$$F(x, y, z, w) = \frac{x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2}{16} \quad \text{and} \quad g(x) = x^2.$$

It is easy to see that  $g$  and  $F$  are compatible. Define  $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by  $\psi(t) = kt$  and  $\phi : [0, \infty)^4 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by  $\phi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = \frac{k-1}{4}(t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4)$ , where  $1 \leq k \leq 8$ . Then,  $\psi$  and  $\phi$  have the properties mentioned in Theorem 2.1. Further, for all  $x, y, z, w, u, v, r, t \in X$ , we have  $gx \asymp gu$ ,  $gy \asymp gv$ ,  $gz \asymp gr$  and  $gw \asymp gt$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(sd(F(x, y, z, w), F(u, v, r, t))) &= \psi\left(2\left(\frac{x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2}{16} - \frac{u^2 + v^2 + r^2 + t^2}{16}\right)^2\right) \\ &= \frac{k}{128}((x^2 - u^2) + (y^2 - v^2) + (z^2 - r^2) + (w^2 - t^2))^2 \\ &\leq \frac{4k}{128}((x^2 - u^2)^2 + (y^2 - v^2)^2 + (z^2 - r^2)^2 + (w^2 - t^2)^2) \\ &= \frac{k}{32}(d(gx, gu) + d(gy, gv) + d(gz, gr) + d(gw, gt)) \\ &\leq \frac{8}{32}(d(gx, gu) + d(gy, gv) + d(gz, gr) + d(gw, gt)) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(d(gx, gu) + d(gy, gv) + d(gz, gr) + d(gw, gt)) \\ &= \frac{k}{4}(d(gx, gu) + d(gy, gv) + d(gz, gr) + d(gw, gt)) \\ &\quad - \frac{k-1}{4}(d(gx, gu) + d(gy, gv) + d(gz, gr) + d(gw, gt)) \\ &\leq k \max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gr), d(gw, gt)\} \\ &\quad - \phi(d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gr), d(gw, gt)) \\ &= \psi(\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gr), d(gw, gt)\}) \\ &\quad - \phi(d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gr), d(gw, gt)).\end{aligned}$$





So,  $F$  and  $g$  satisfy all the conditions of Theorem and  $(0, 0, 0, 0)$  is a quadruple coincidence point of  $F$  and  $g$ . Moreover, by Theorem 3.1  $(0, 0, 0, 0)$  is the unique quadruple common fixed point of  $F$  and  $g$ .

Note that, in this case  $F$  does not have the  $g$ -mixed monotone property, so the results of paper [25] cannot be applied.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

## References

1. Abbas, M., Khan, M.A., Radenovic, S.: Common coupled fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces for  $w$ -compatible mappings. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **217**(1), 195–202 (2010)
2. Aghajani, A., Abbas, M., Roshan, J.R.: Common fixed point of generalized weak contractive mappings in partially ordered  $b$ -metric spaces. *Math. Slovaca* **64**(4), 941–960 (2014)
3. Agarwal, R.P., Sintunavarat, W., Kumam, P.: Coupled coincidence point and common coupled fixed point theorems lacking the mixed monotone property. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* Article ID 22 (2013)
4. Aydi, H., Damjanović, B., Samet, B., Shatanawi, W.: Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered  $G$ -metric spaces. *Math. Comput. Modell.* **54**(9–10), 2443–2450 (2011)
5. Aydi, H., Bota, M.-F., Karapinar, E., Moradi, S.: A common fixed point for weak  $\varphi$ -contractions on  $b$ -metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory* **13**(2), 337–346 (2012)
6. Aydi, H., Karapinar, E., Shatanawi, W.: Tripled coincidence point results for generalized contractions in ordered generalized metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* Article ID 101 (2012)
7. Bakhtin, I.A.: The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces. *Funct. Anal.* **30**, 26–37 (1989)
8. Berinde, V., Borcut, M.: Tripled fixed point theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**(15), 4889–4897 (2011)
9. Berinde, V.: Generalized coupled fixed point theorems for mixed monotone mappings in partially ordered metric spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**, 7347–7355 (2011)
10. Bhaskar, T.G., Lakshmikantham, V.: Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications. *Nonlinear Anal.* **65**, 1379–1393 (2006)
11. Boriceanu, M.: Fixed point theory for multivalued contraction on a set with two  $b$ -metrics. *Creative Math.* **17**, 326–332 (2008)
12. Bota, M., Molnar, A., Varga, C.: On Ekeland's variational principle in  $b$ -metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory* **12**, 21–28 (2011)
13. Czerwik, S.: Contraction mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces. *Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostrav.* **1**, 5–11 (1993)
14. Czerwik, S.: Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces. *Atti Semin. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena* **46**(2), 263–276 (1998)
15. Dorić, D., Kadelburg, Z., Radenovic, S.: Coupled fixed point theorems for mappings without mixed monotone property. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **25**, 1803–1808 (2012)
16. Guo, D., Lakshmikantham, V.: Coupled fixed points of nonlinear operators with applications. *Nonlinear Anal.* **11**, 623–632 (1987)
17. Hussain, N., Parvaneh, V., Roshan, J.R., Kadelburg, Z.: Fixed points of cyclic  $(\psi, \varphi, L, A, B)$ -contractive mappings in ordered  $b$ -metric spaces with applications. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* Article ID 256 (2013)
18. Karapinar, E.: Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in cone metric spaces. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **59**, 3656–3668 (2010)
19. Karapinar, E., Luong, N.V.: Quadruple fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **64**(6), 1839–1848 (2012)
20. Karapinar, E.: Quartet fixed point for nonlinear contraction. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1106.5472>
21. Karapinar, E., Berinde, V.: Quadruple fixed point theorems for nonlinear contraction in partially ordered metric spaces. *Banach J. Math. Anal.* **6**(1), 74–89 (2012)
22. Karapinar, E., Shatanawi, W., Mustafa, Z.: Quadruple fixed point theorems under nonlinear contractive conditions in partially ordered metric spaces. *J. Appl. Math.* Article ID 951912 (2012)
23. Khan, M.S., Swaleh, M., Sessa, S.: Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **30**, 1–9 (1984)
24. Lakshmikantham, V., Ćirić, L.B.: Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **70**, 4341–4349 (2009)
25. Mustafa, Z., Aydi, H., Karapinar, E.: Mixed  $g$ -monotone property and quadruple fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Appl.* Article ID 71 (2012)
26. Parvaneh, V., Roshan, J.R., Radenović, S.: Existence of tripled coincidence points in ordered  $b$ -metric spaces and an application to a system of integral equations. *Fixed Point Theory and Appl.* Article ID 130 (2013)
27. Rashwan, R.A., Saleh, S.M.: A coupled fixed point theorem for three pairs of  $w$ -compatible mappings in  $G$ -metric spaces. *Math. Sci. Lett.* **3**(1), 17–20 (2014)
28. Roshan, J.R., Parvaneh, V., Sedghi, S., Shobkolaei, N., Shatanawi, W.: Common fixed points of almost generalized  $(\psi, \phi)_s$ -contractive mappings in ordered  $b$ -metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* Article ID 159 (2013)
29. Singh, S.L., Prasad, B.: Some coincidence theorems and stability of iterative procedures. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **55**, 2512–2520 (2008)

